may be filed with the Committee either before or after the meeting. Individuals who wish to make oral statements pertaining to agenda items should contact Ken Korkia at the address or telephone number listed above. Requests must be received 5 days prior to the meeting and reasonable provision will be made to include the presentation in the agenda. The Designated Federal Official is empowered to conduct the meeting in a fashion that will facilitate the orderly conduct of business. Each individual wishing to make public comment will be provided a maximum of 5 minutes to present their comments at the beginning of the meeting. This notice is being published less than 15 days in advance of the meeting due to programmatic issues that needed to be resolved prior to publication.

Minutes: The minutes of this meeting will be available for public review and copying at the Freedom of Information Public Reading Room, 1E-190, Forrestal Building, 1000 Independence Avenue, SW, Washington, DC 20585 between 9:00 a.m. and 4 p.m., Monday-Friday, except Federal holidays. Minutes will also be available at the Public Reading Room located at the Board's office at 9035 North Wadsworth Parkway, Suite 2250, Westminster, CO 80021; telephone (303) 420-7855. Hours of operation for the Public Reading Room are 9:00 am and 4:00 pm on Monday through Friday. Minutes will also be made available by writing or calling Deb Thompson at the Board's office address or telephone number listed above.

Issued at Washington, DC on August 19, 1998.

Rachel M. Samuel,

Deputy Advisory Committee Management Officer.

[FR Doc. 98–22670 Filed 8–21–98; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 6450–01–P

DEPARTMENT OF ENERGY

Environmental Management Site-Specific Advisory Board, Nevada Test Site

AGENCY: Department of Energy. **ACTION:** Meeting cancellation notice.

SUMMARY: Pursuant to the provisions of the Federal Advisory Committee Act (Pub. L. 92–463, 86 Stat. 770), notice is hereby given of the cancellation of the open Environmental Management Site-Specific Advisory Board (EM SSAB), September 2, 1998, from 5:30 p.m.–9:00 p.m., at the U.S. Department of Energy Nevada Support Facility, Great Basin Room, 232 Energy Way, North Las Vegas, Nevada. This meeting was

announced in the **Federal Register** on Friday, August 14, 1998 (63 FR 43692).

Issued at Washington, DC on August 19, 1998.

Rachel Samuel,

Deputy Advisory Committee Management Officer.

[FR Doc. 98–22671 Filed 8–21–98; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 6450–01–P

DEPARTMENT OF ENERGY

Federal Energy Regulatory Commission

[Docket No. RP98-274-001]

Black Marlin Pipeline Company; Notice of Compliance Filing

August 18, 1998.

Take notice that on August 13, 1998, Black Marlin Pipeline Company (Black Marlin), tendered for filing to become part of its FERC Gas Tariff, First Revised Volume No. 1 (Tariff), effective January 1, 1999, the following tariff sheet:

Second Revised Sheet No. 200

Black Marlin states that by Order issued July 30, 1998 (July 30 Order) in the above-referenced docket, the Commission accepted and suspended for the maximum five-month suspension period, subject to refund and conditions, tariff sheets filed by Black Marlin on June 30, 1998, pursuant to Section 4(e) of the Natural Gas Act to effectuate changes to the rates and terms applicable to Black Marlin's jurisdictional services.

In compliance with ordering paragraph (B) of the July 30 Order, Black Marlin states that it is submitting a revised tariff sheet to reflect the deletion of the interruptible revenue sharing section from the index of the General Terms and Conditions of Black Marlin's Tariff in conformance with Black Marlin's proposal to eliminate the interruptible revenue sharing provisions from its Tariff.

Any person desiring to be heard or to protest this filing should file a motion to intervene or protest with the Federal **Energy Regulatory Commission, 888** First Street, NE., Washington, DC 20426 in accordance with Sections 385.214 and 385.211 of the Commission's Rules and Regulations. All such motions or protests must be filed as provided in Section 154.210 of the Commission's Regulations. Protests will be considered by the Commission in determining the appropriate action to be taken, but will not serve to make protestants parties to the proceeding. Any person wishing to become a party must file a motion to intervene.

Copies of this filing are on file with the Commission and are available for public inspection in the Public Reference Room.

Linwood A. Watson, Jr.,

Acting Secretary.

[FR Doc. 98–22620 Filed 8–21–98; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 6717–01–M

DEPARTMENT OF ENERGY

Federal Energy Regulatory Commission

[Docket No. CP98-718-000]

Columbia Gas Transmission Corporation; Notice of Request Under Blanket Authorization

August 18, 1998.

Take notice that on August 10, 1998, Columbia Gas Transmission Corporation (Columbia), 12801 Fair Lakes Parkway, Fairfax, Virginia 22030, filed in Docket No. CP98-718-000 a request pursuant to Sections 157.205 and 157.211 of the Commission's Regulations under the Natural Gas Act (18 CFR 157.205, 157.211) for authorization to construct and operate a new point of delivery to Washington Gas Light Company (WGL) in Montgomery County, Maryland, under Columbia's blanket certificate issued in Docket No. CP83-76-000 pursuant to Section 7 of the Natural Gas Act, all as more fully set forth in the request that is on file with the Commission and open to public inspection.

Columbia states that the construction of the new delivery point has been requested by WGL to serve both residential and commercial customers. The estimated quantities of natural gas to be delivered at the new point of delivery is 3,500 Dth/day and 1,277,500 Dth/annually. Interconnection facilities will consist of installing a 4-inch tap, 3inch meter, electronic measurement and approximately 410 feet of 4-inch inlet line to WGL. WGL has not requested an increase in its total firm entitlements in conjunction with this request. The estimated cost to construct this new point of delivery is \$176,074 which includes "gross up" for income tax purposes. WGL will reimburse Columbia 100% of the total actual cost of the proposed construction.

Columbia states that the new point of delivery will have no effect on peak day and annual deliveries, that its existing tariff does not prohibit addition of new delivery points and that deliveries will be accomplished without detriment of disadvantage to its other customers and that the total volumes delivered will not